Sub: Leadership and Change management (E-J) HR BBA 3rd yea.

FIEDLER'S MODEL OF CONTINGENCY THEORY 2019-20

BY:

(Freid Study)

(53) (46) (57) (56) (49) Pranay Raj, Surabhi, Megha, Sony, Sri Lekha **BBA(V)** SEMESTER

1-Ashersoni-

CONTINGENCY THEORY FINDINGS FROM

THE FIEDLER MODEL

The theory that effective groups depend upon a proper match between a leader style of interacting with subordinates and the degree to which the situation gives control and influence to the leader.

• Identify Leadership style:

Fiedler created the Least Preferred Co-worker [LPC] questionnaire for the purpose of finding out that leadership success has a key factor i.e., individual's basic leadership style. This questionnaire measures whether a person is Task oriented (or) Relationship oriented. It contains 16 contrasting adjectives.

Some of them are Pleasant, Unpleasant, Efficient, Inefficient, Open, Closed, etc.,

Fiedler made this questionnaire and asked the respondents to rate the co-workers whom they felt least preferred to work with and asked them to rate on a scale of 1-8. By this he determined that a respondent with high LPC score is Relationship oriented leader and a respondent with low LPC score is a Task oriented leader.

Defining the situation:

After an individual's basic leadership style has been assessed through the LPC score it is necessary to match the leader with the situation. After assessing the leadership style through LPC. Fiedler gave 3 contingency dimensions. They are:

- 1. <u>Leader-Member Relations:</u> The degree of confidence, trust and respect members they have with the respective leaders.
- 2. <u>Task Structures:</u> The degree to which the job assignments are procedurized that is structured or unstructured.
- 3. <u>Position Power:</u> The degree of influence a leader has over power variables such as hiring, firing, discipline, promotion and salary increase.

• Matching the leader and situation:

Based on Fiedler's research he concluded that task-oriented leaders tend to perform better in situation that are very favourable or very unfavourable.

Relationship oriented leaders perform better in moderate situations.

Hands on Activities ANALYSIS OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE 1.) If the score is \rightarrow Relationship - oniented leader. 73 or above -> Task-oriented leader. 91 the score is 64 or below 27 3.> If the score is 65 → Both Relationships and -lo72 task Quinted Parts 19-20 BBA Stheem

RESPONDENTS	LPC SCORE	LEADERSHIP STYLE (Task Oriented (or) Relationship Oriented).
RESpondent 01.	65	Since the LPC score of respondent 1 is 65, he is both task and Relationship oniented leader.
Respondent 02	74	Since the LPC score of respondent 2 is 74, he is considered to be a relationship-oriented leader.
Respondent 03	53	Since the LPC scone of respondent 3rd is 53, he is a task-oriented leader.
Respondent 04	99	Since the LPC score of Respondent 4 is 99, therefore havis a Relationship-oriented leader.
Respondent 05	(03	Relationship-Oniented leader
Respondent 06	86	Relationship-Oniented leader.
Respondend 07	79	Relationship-Oniented leader.
Respondent 08	71	Task-oriented as well as relationship-oniented leader.
Respondent 09	74	Relationship-oriented leader.
Respondent 10	74	Relationship-oriented leader.
Respondent !!	૩૫	Task-Oniented Leader.
Respondent 12	67	Task-Oniented as well as reletionship oniented leader.
Respondent 13	90	Relationship-oniented leader.
Respondent 14	31	task-oniented leader.

RESPONDENTS	LPC SCORE	LEADERSHIP STYLE (Task Oriented (Or) Relationship Oniented)
Respondent 15	96	Relationship - oriented leader.
Respondent 16	[19	Relationship - oniented leader.
Respondent (7	101	Relationship - oniented leader.
Respondent 18	25	Task-oniented leader
Respondent 19	105	Relationship-oriented leader.
Respondent 20	90	Relationship-oniented leader.
Respondent 21	111	Relationship oriented leader.
Respondent 22	76	Relationship-oriented leader
Respondent 23	64	Task-oriented leader.
Respondent 24	125	Relationship - oriented leader
Respondent 25	112	Relationship on'ented leader.
Respondent 26	85	Relationship onvented leader.
Respondent 27	ଟା	Task-oriented leader.
Respondent 28	35	Task-oniented leader.
Respondent 29	126	Relationship-oniented leader.
Respondent 30	72	Task Quiented as well as leadership oriented leader
Respondent 31	36	Tark-oriented leader.

· †	RESPONDENTS	I PC CONT	LEADERSHIP STULG (Take the installers
·	RESPONDENTS	LPC SCORE	LEADERSHIP STYLE (Task Oniented (00) Relationship Oniented)
	Respondent 32	93	Relationship - oriented leader
	Respondent 33	63	Task - oriented leader
1	Respondent 34	68	Task Oriented as well as Relationship oriented
1	Respondent 35	120	Relationship - oniented leader.
	Respondent 36	98	Relationship-oniented leader
4	lespondent 37	74	Relationship oniented leader.
1	Respondent 38	109	Relationship-oniorted leader.
	kespondent 39	78	Relationship oniented leader.
1	Respondent 40	44	Task-oriented leader.
6	Respondent 41	18	Task-oniented leader.
2	lespondent 42	101	Relationship - oriented leader.
1	Respondent 43	85	Relationship - oniented leader.
F	lespondent 44	90	Relationship-oniented leader.
1	Respondent 45	71	Taskoriented as well as relationship-oniented leader.
-			